



Combination of Sentinel-2 change detection and forest site factors

Patterns of forest degradation in Thuringia

*Birgitta Putzenlechner, Georg-August-University Göttingen,
Cartography, GIS and remote sensing*

*Philipp Koal, Forestry Research and Competence Center,
ThüringenForst, Gotha*



GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT
GÖTTINGEN

Kartographie - GIS - Fernerkundung



THÜRINGENFORST




Forest degradation


- Prolonged drought of recent years (2018-2020) and the additional weakening caused by pests and storm events
→ large areas of calamity in spruce stands, degradation in deciduous forests across Germany
- Thuringian forests also heavily affected
- Remote sensing data, e.g. Sentinel-2, is increasingly used for operational monitoring the status and dynamics of forests
- Mapping of forest site factors and huge amounts of 'old data' available in Thuringia – more than 90% of the forest area is mapped!



Photo: I. Profft, FFK Gotha



<http://www.geoproxy.geoportal-th.de/geoclient/control> with Sentinel-2 mosaic 2020 and calamity layer



Forest site factor mapping

Spatial data

Forestry site maps (*Lokalbodenformen*) 1 : 10.000

Soil-geological maps (*Substratgruppen*) 1 : 100.000

DEM 10 (slope, aspect)

Point data




Soil measurement stations (*BZE-Punkte*) 108

Soil profiles (with laboratory data) 1827


Analog data

Soil type catalog 30 +

Recording sheets 1000 +



>5800 horizons with texture data




Questions

- Is there a spatial coincidence between certain forest site factors and calamity / loss of vitality detectable from space?
- Are there specific site factors that have an increased risk for degradation?

→ Combine change detection based on Sentinel-2 derived vegetation indices with forest site factors

- aspect
- slope
- nFK (available water capacity)
- soil substrate



Workflow

Sentinel-2 data


- years 2016-2021
- summer (Jun-mid Sep)
- atmospherically corrected (Level2A), cloud-masked

Multitemporal vegetation indices

- NDVI
- NDWI (Gao 1996)
- NDREI (Gitelson & Merzlyak 1994)

Succession-optimized index differencing routine

→ maximum period of (negative) trend per pixel



Composite difference image

per vegetation index



Forest site factors

- slope
- aspect
- nFK-levels
- soil substrate

Forest attributes

Intersection and statistical analysis

Succession-optimized image differencing

- Outlier detection and removal (adapted from Löw & Koukal)
- Linear interpolation and moving average smoothing
- Identification of maximum period with negative trend
- Composite difference image with ΔVI







Photo: I. Profft, FFK Gotha



“Traditional” vs. succession-optimized image difference imaging






“Traditional” vs. succession-optimized image difference imaging





Vitaly loss per dominant trees species

- Highest losses for spruces due to recent bark beetle outbreaks, but deciduous species also degrading
- Minor differences between vegetation indices




Influence of terrain slope

- Spruce with high vitality losses on flat areas, whereas beech more prone to vitality loss on sloped terrain
→ Beech and oak with deeper root system less prone to drought on flat terrain; spruce not well adapted on flat areas with deeper soils (?)
- Agreement among different VIs




Influence of terrain aspect

- Southern slopes slightly more affected, especially spruce
- Deciduous forest with similar patterns as spruces forest but less pronounced
- Agreement among different VIs



Influence of soil substrate (spruce forest)

- Significant differences between most soil substrate classes
- Spruces on class "lloe" = "slope clay, loess-like" least affected
- Contrarily, spruces on skeletal soils ("lg4", "r2") heavily affected
- Difference of NDWI more sensitive → better response to water deficiency





*abbreviations according to the legend of the soil geological overview map of Thuringia 1:100,000

Soil substrate [-]*

Influence of available water capacity (nFK)

- Agreement among different VIs: generally highest water availability with lowest vitality loss
 - Deciduous trees clear trend from low to high, spruce more diverse
- different underlying agents; quasi-randomized calamity with high bark beetle populations in spruce



*nFK-levels according to forest site factor mapping (2016) in mm: extremely low (<30); very low (30-60); low (60-90); 4= medium (90-120); high (120-180)

Conclusions & Outlook

Lessons learnt:

- Image differencing approaches in forests should account for succession to avoid false positive change signal
- Strong explanatory potential of forest site factors for remote sensing observed vitality losses
 - Terrain factors (aspect, slope) with minor influences
 - Soil substrate and nFK with high influence on vitality loss
 - Choice of VI generally less important due to strong change signal, but site and species-specific

Next steps:

- In-depth analysis of the spatio-temporal dynamics - which spatial setting (soil, terrain) was affected first? Tipping points?
- Investigate potential of Sentinel-2 derived ECVs such as LAI and FAPAR as these variables can be better validated in the field (compared to VI values)

→ Remotely sensed change signal should be intersected with existing forest geoinformation to develop vulnerability maps according to stand properties



Thank you!

Contact:

Dr. B. Putzenlechner

Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Institut für Geographie/ Kartographie, GIS und Fernerkundung

birgitta.putzenlechner@uni-goettingen.de



GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT
GÖTTINGEN

Kartographie - GIS - Fernerkundung



THÜRINGENFORST

